(b) and (c) No, Sir. This would depend upon availability of additional space segment facilities.

Allotment of Government Accommodation to Private Institutions Etc.

386. SHRI SHANKER SINH VAGHELA; Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the names and addresses of the private organisations, institutions and societies, registered under the Societies Registration Act, or otherwise which have been allotted Government accommodation in Delhi and were in occupation thereof, as on 1st January, 1989;

(b) the names and addresses of their Chief executives and the dates of allotment:

(c) the purpose of allotment in each case; and

(d) the names of other organisations which had applied for Government accommodation but whose applications were rejected during 1986, 1987 and 1988?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Supersession of Municipalities and Corporations in the Country

387. SHRI LAL K. ADVANI:
SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the particulars of Municipalities and Corporations under supersession in the country:

(b) since when their elections have not been held State-wise:

(c) whether the last Urban Development Minister's Conference had agreed any guidelines in respect of supersession of the Municipalities and Corporations by the State Governments; and

(d) if so, the details thereof and the action taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) The necessary information is given in Annexure [*See* Appendix CLI, Annexure No. 20]

(b) In respect of Corporations the information is given in the enclosed Statement. (*See* below) In respect of Municipalities the information has to be collected from the State Governments.

(c) No. Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

Statement

Municipal Corporation which have been superseded

S. No.	Name of the Corporation	Since when superseded
1	2	3
	Assam	
1.	Gauhati	13-10-1982
	Bihar	
2.	Bhagalpur	25-09-1979

1	2	3
3.	Gaya	18-11-1983
4.	Muzaffarpur	16-04-1981
	Karnataka	
5.	Bangalore	since 1988
6.	Gulbarga	Do.
7.	Hubli-Dharwar	Do
8.	Mangalore	Do.
9.	Mysore	Do.
10.	Belgaum	since 1988
	Madhya Pradesh	
11.	Bhopal	27-03-1987
12.	Bilaspur	since 1987
13.	Burhanpur	since 1987
14.	Dewas	since 1982
15.	Durg	since 1987
16.	Gwalior	since 1988
17.	Indore	27-03-1987
18.	Jabalpore	29-04-1986
19.	Katni	01-01-1981
20.	Khandwa	01-01-1981
21.	Raipur	since 1984
22.	Ratlam	01-01-1981
23.	Rewa	01-01-1981
24.	Rajnandgaon	since 1984
25.	Sagar	since 1987
26.	Satna	26-01-1981
27.	Ujjain	since 1980
	Maharashtra	
28.	Amravati	15-03-1983
29.	Kalyan	01-10-1983

1	2	3
30.	Nagpur	since 1988
31.	Nasik	07-11-1982
	Punjab	
32.	Amritsar	01-04-1977
33.	Jallandhar	01-04-1977
34.	Ludhiana	01-04-1977
	Tamil Nadu	
35.	Coimbatore	01-05-1981
36.	Ma tars	01-12-1973
37.	Madurai	27-07-1984
	Uttar Pradesh	
38.	Allahabad	14-09-1974
39.	Lucknow	01-07-1973

Source : Ministry of Urban Development, New Delhi.

Expenditure on drought prone Areas programme

388. SHRI LAL K. ADVANI; SHRI ASHWANI KUMAR:

Will the Minister of AGRICULTURE be pleased to state :

(a) the amount of money spent on Drought Prone programme since its inception in 1973 State-wise, the number of Drough-Prone districts and the State-wise distribution of the money so spent;

(b) the success achieved so far in various States in containing the recurring drought situation there; and

(c) whether any appraisal of this programme has been made and if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE (SHRI JANARDHAN POOJARI): (a) A Statement is enclosed *see* below)

(b) and (c) A Comprehensive appraisal of the programme has been initiated by the Programme Evaluation Organization of the Planning Commission whose report would indicate the success achieved in containing recurring drought situation in various States. However, a few evaluation studies carried out in relation to some sectoral schemes in specific districts have indicated that their impact was localised. The physical achievements registered since inception of the programme include coverage of 2183 thousand hectares by soil and moisture conservation measures ; extension of water resources development to 817 thousand hectares and 1188 thousand hectares of land